

Brem-121-12.04.2014

From: thornton ash <thorntonash@comcast.net>

To: Peggy Wang <peggywn@aol.com>; Mdemare <Mdemare@carlisle.mec.edu>

Subject: Clarification to our letter to the BOS

Date: Thu, Dec 4, 2014 3:02 pm

DEC 04 2014

TOWN OF CARLISLE
COMMUNICATION

The neighbors and abutters to the proposed 40b project for Long Ridge Road have submitted a letter to the BOS and a copy to the ZBA.

We would like to clarify and emphasize the point we are making in paragraph six:

"The peer reviewer analysis submitted to the ZBA and discussed at the November 17th meeting totally ignored the complexities of the November 3rd discussions, and offered no assurances that the proposed testing protocol would require that the applicant's development not endanger the neighborhood water supply, including the water supply for the proposed 19 new homes. The proposal submitted falls well short of the thorough water testing protocol that the ZBA required several years ago in the proposed Coventry Woods 40B. Dan Hill, the attorney retained at our expense, recommended this Coventry Woods protocol be adopted for the Long Ridge Road 40B, but the ZBA essentially ignored his recommendation, not even to ask the peer review hydrogeologist's opinion of it. "

We did not find the proposal submitted adequate given the complexities of the ground water situation. We have requested the ZBA direct Mr Smith to discuss in writing his proposal. We have not yet received nor seen a response to this request, nor heard any discussion about Mr Hill's suggestions to the ZBA. Our concerns are with the process and clarity in establishing through testing protocols to provide a comprehensive project water analysis and peer review.