



Town of Carlisle

MASSACHUSETTS 01741

Office of

PLANNING BOARD

CARLISLE EDUCATION CENTER
872 WESTFORD ST.

Phone: 369-9702

Fax: 369-4521

MINUTES DEC. 13, 1993

Chair Ernstoff opened the meeting at 8:08. Present were Board members Hughes, Duscha, Colman, and Chaput, and visitors Tara Hengeveld and Mike Benfield.

Minutes of Dec. 6, 1993 were accepted as amended on a motion by Duscha seconded by Chaput. Colman abstained.

Bills were approved as presented.

Budget Process: Colman discussed the fincom proposal to reorganize the town budget process and the Town Meeting process. The Planning Board will meet with the fincom on Jan. 27; the Board discussed next year's budget in a preliminary way. The P. A. will prepare an analysis of the cost of master plan work, the cost of moving, which may become an annual event for the near future, and the cost of being located in a building separate from town hall and town center. Because the fincom had been alerted to our predicted shortage in this year's budget, they have agreed to fund us, but the P.A. will need to notify the Town Clerk and the Town Administrator as soon as we overrun. A monthly report will need to be made in order to receive the additional funding.

The Board reviewed the draft of the Rober/Powell accessory apartment permit.

Ice Pond issues The P.A. has discussed with the Fire Chief the possibility of allowing Hebb to place a water tank truck at Ice Pond until he is able to bring the fire cistern equipment on line, and thereby granting an occupancy permit to the owner of Lot C. Koning does not feel the idea has merit. Acton Deputy Fire Chief Primiano, whom the P.A. consulted because Hebb had told the Board he was allowed to use a tanker in Acton, stated that in his 20 years in Acton it had not been allowed.

M.P.: Community Day Results Hughes presented the Board the results of her work in analysing the ideas from the small groups numbered 1-5. Group 6 will be added when Evans finishes work on it. Yanofsky and she had entered 1-5 and Hughes had grouped them according to the four categories (community, rurality, safety and education). Later Hughes and Bayne reorganized them according to more significant patterns of interest which emerged as they reviewed them, and created a graph which divided all of these areas into either Land Use or Community categories. It is apparent that many of the ideas

are goals, but a significant number named an implementation suggestion. Hughes suggested the Board review each area for redundancy, as all ideas had been included, and for lack of clarity or significance. Then, she recommended, for each area, the Board should try to create a synthesis of the ideas expressed, and a list of implementation study areas. (Chaput suggested that even if an area has no governmental implementation potential, such as the one called "attitudes", it still expresses a basic desire on the part of some Carlisleans and therefore should be included in some form in the M.P.) These areas, with their accompanying suggestions for implementations, will be sent out to the community for re-evaluation. The goal is to eventually make a report to Town Meeting, stating these areas of interest, and the Board's recommended implementation study areas. Hughes suggested that if the Board publicizes its desire to form citizen study committees to research implementations the idea will be well received. Colman and Ernstoff wished to put the most research effort into those areas which, no matter how the wording of ideas had varied, had clearly been the most important to participants in Community Day as based on the green card tabulation. Foremost was land conservation, and Ernstoff suggested first priority for the Board is to create a list of land conservation implementations for Town Meeting. Hengeveld commented that the Board should try to include implementations for Town Meeting 1994, and that the ideas shouldn't be so general as to be meaningless. Chaput suggested the format should be: First, these are the things we agree should happen; second, charge the Planning Board and other Boards with exploring these options for implementation. In this format, voters won't feel they have to vote down the whole package simply because they may disagree with a recommended implementation. The Board reviewed the Conservation sections using the method outlined by Hughes. Bayne will enter the results into the computer, and will draft the Town Center portion for the Board's review. Colman volunteered to help Hughes. Ernstoff will draft the Government and Attitudes sections, Duscha will do the Volunteerism/Participation section, and Chaput will do Housing Options and Elderly Housing sections.

A subcommittee meeting will be arranged some time in late December.

The Board voted unanimously, on a motion by Colman, seconded by Chaput, to pay the \$65 enrollment fee for the P.A. to attend a seminar at MIT in late Jan. regarding master planning.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:30.

Sandy Bayne, Planner Assistant