

	<b>Multi-Family Conservation Cluster</b> <i>Minimum Density on Maximum Land</i>	<b>Mid-Scale Development on Multiple Large Sites</b> <i>Maximum Density on Maximum Land</i>	<b>Mid-Scale Development on Multiple 5-Acre Sites</b> <i>Maximum Density on Medium Land</i>	<b>Large-Scale Development on One Site</b> <i>Maximum Density on Minimum Land</i>
<b>THIS IS A DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY. THESE ARE APPROACHES, NOT SITE-SPECIFIC</b>	3 units/2 acres on 32 2-acre lots	15 units/acre on 3 15+ acre lots each w/~13+ acres open	15 units/acre on 3 5-acre lots each w/~3-acres open space	15 units/acre on 1 6.33-acre Lot
<b>Water/WasteWater Required for Full Build:</b>	32 3-unit developments Private Septic and Private Well	1 31-unit + 2 32-unit developments Private Septic and Onsite Public Water Supply Wells	1 31-unit + 2 32-unit developments Private Septic and Piped Public Water OR WW Treatment Plant and Onsite Public Water Supply Wells	95-unit development WW Treatment Plant and Onsite Public Water Supply Wells
<b>(Authority Having Jurisdiction) Septic:</b>	Board of Health (8 BR)	Board of Health (60 BR)	Board of Health (60 BR)	MA DEP (min 91 BR)
Nitrogen Loading:	110 gal/BR/day for conventional 4 BR/acre system	110 gal/BR/day for conventional 4 BR/acre system	110 gal/BR/day for conventional 4 BR/acre system	NA
Leach Field:	165 gal/BR/day design flow	165 gal/BR/day design flow	165 gal/BR/day design flow	
<b>Percentage of Town Area: (15.5 sq.mi = 9220 acres)</b>	<b>0.69%</b>	<b>0.49%</b>	<b>0.16%</b>	<b>0.07%</b>
<b>Typology Diagram:</b>				
<b>Number of Parcels/Locations</b>	32 (min 8 locations of (4) 2-ac parcels)	3	3	1
Acres Zoned	64	45+	15	~6.33
Acres Developed	5.25 (8.2% of each lot)	6.33	6.33	6.33
Acres Open	58.75	38.67	8.67	nominal
Acres Protected	TBD, could be ~90% of each 2-acre lot	TBD, could be ~80% of each 15+ acre lot	TBD, could be ~60% of each 5 acre lot	None Likely
Development Density	1.5 units/acre	15 units/acre	15 units/acre	15 units/acre
Parcel Density	1.5 units/acre	2.13 units/acre	6.4 units/acre	15 units/acre
<b>Likelihood / Timeframe:</b>				
Likelihood of Full Build	Possible (depending on economics for each lot)	High	Medium-Low (due to land constraints for septic)	High-Medium (is lot consolidation required?)
Likely Alternative to Full Build	No build	Conservation Cluster of x units likely lower value	~10-unit, 20 BR development to comply with Title 5, sited on 2 acres	up to 20-unit, 20 BR development to comply with Title 5, sited on full ~6-ac parcel
Timeframe for Build-Out	Incremental over many years	Near-term	Medium-term but for smaller projects	All at once, could be near-term
<b>Considerations:</b>				
Abutters	Most	Moderate	Limited	Fewest
Impact to Abutters	Lowest impact	Low-medium impact	Medium-high impact	Highest impact
Current Allowed Units on Parcel	2: 1 SFH, 1 ADU	14: 7 SFH, 7 ADU	4: 2 SFH, 2 ADU	6: 2 SFH, 2 ADU
Current Units on Parcel	1 (in most cases)	Depends on sites chosen	Depends on sites chosen	Depends on site chosen
# of New Units Added	64	95 (if on vacant or commercial land)	95 (if on vacant or commercial land)	95 (if on vacant or commercial land)
Development Density Increase	1.5x	15x	15x	15x
Parcel Density Increase	1.5x	2.14x	7.5x	15x
<b>Alignment with Housing Production Plan:</b>				
Missing Middle	yes	yes	yes	yes
Affordable units	no	maybe, up to 10% of units	maybe, up to 10% of units	yes, up to 10% of units
Passive income potential	yes	no	no	no
<b>Alignment w/Master Plan:</b>				
<b>1B</b> Protect Portions of Private land	yes	yes	maybe	no
<b>19A</b> Consider adopting alternative Open Space Residential Dev. or Cluster with smaller min. acreage	yes	yes	yes	no

20B Housing Diversity & Missing Middle Housing	yes	yes	yes	yes
<b>Considerations:</b>				
Risk to Water Supply:	Lowest risk of water depletion, disperses water consumption	Low risk of water depletion	Medium-high risk of water depletion	Highest risk of water depletion (unless piped system), concentrates water consumption
Risk of Groundwater Contamination:	8-bedroom septic most well-understood	harder to predict potential impacts	harder to predict potential impacts	harder to predict potential impacts
Environmental (water/wastewater):	Relies on private septic and well, which is condition all over town	site and permit specific	site and permit specific	site and permit specific
Ecological (surface water/habitat):	Limited new disturbance	More ecologically sensitive option than existing zoning	More ecologically sensitive option than existing zoning	Destruction of habitat from clear-cutting
Carbon:	Reuse of existing structures maintains embodied carbon & reduces use of new materials	Shared walls reduces carbon footprint / New construction increases carbon footprint, runoff from impervious surface	Shared walls reduces carbon footprint / New construction increases carbon footprint, runoff from impervious surface	Shared walls reduces carbon footprint / New construction increases carbon footprint, runoff from impervious surface
Traffic:	Least impact to traffic, disperses traffic	Moderate impact to traffic	Moderate impact to traffic	Greatest impact to traffic, concentrates traffic
Historic Resources:	Compatible with Carlisle's historic character, potential for reuse of historic structures, could be subject to design guidelines	Potential for reuse of historic structure (i.e., Assurance Tech) / could be subject to design guidelines	Similar scale to Benfield, could be subject to design guidelines	Amount of units/site incompatible with Carlisle's historic character / could be subject to design guidelines
Fiscal Impact (full analysis under development separately):	Gradual fiscal impact			"All at once" fiscal impact
Legal Questions:		spot zoning?	spot zoning?	spot zoning?
<b>Priority Criteria for Siting:</b>	1. Water & septic safety, sustainability 2. Minimize new disturbance in wetland areas 3. Land conservation & habitat preservation 4. Road capacity	1. Water & septic safety, sustainability 2. Large sites that could otherwise be SFH subdivisions 3. Reuse of historic structures (i.e., Assurance Technology) 4. Land conservation & habitat preservation 5. Road capacity	1. Water & septic safety, sustainability 2. Road capacity 3. Minimize new disturbance in wetland areas 4. Land conservation & habitat preservation	1. Water & septic safety, sustainability 2. Road capacity
<b>Master Plan Site Considerations:</b>				
10A Identify Opportunities to improve connectivity of trails/pathways				
15E Incorporate Environmental Sustainability impacts into decision making				

<b>FISCAL IMPACT INPUTS</b>				
<b>At this time (March 2025), the inputs are intended to guide an initial analysis, they are not final metrics endorsed by the Planning Board.</b>				
<b>Assumptions</b>	<b>HIGHEST BUILD-OUT SCENARIO</b>	<b>HIGHEST BUILD-OUT SCENARIO</b>	<b>HIGHEST BUILD-OUT SCENARIO</b>	<b>HIGHEST BUILD-OUT SCENARIO</b>
Septic	Scenario maxes out conventional septic	Scenario maxes out conventional septic	Scenario maxes out conventional septic	Wastewater Treatment Plant
Highest Build-Out Timeframe	All 32 developments built around same time	All 3 developments built around same time	All 3 developments built around same time	All 95 units in one development, built at one time
Total Units	96	95	95	95
Unit Size (avg)	1,300 SF	1,300 SF	1,300 SF	1,300 SF
BR per Unit (avg)	2.67	1.89	1.89	2
Total Bedrooms	256 (max)	180 (max)	180 (max)	190
Unit/BR Mix	32 2-BR, 64 3-BR (1 2-BR, 2 3-BR ea)	10 1-BR, 85 2-BR*	10 1-BR, 85 2-BR*	95 2-BR*
Children per BR (avg)	1.25**	.94**	.94**	1**
Total Children	320	170	170	190
Anticipated Municipal Costs				
Fire				
Police				
DPW				
Schools				
Net Existing Zoned Unit Cost				
Total				
Net Existing Built Unit Cost				
Total				

<u>Anticipated New Build Tax Revenue</u> Condos Rental Net Existing Zoned Unit Tax Revenue Total Net Existing Built Unit Tax Revenue Total Projected Costs - Revenue:				
<u>Assumptions</u> Septic Timeframe for Build-Out Total Units Unit Size (avg) BR per Unit (avg) Total Bedrooms Unit/BR Mix Children per BR (avg) Total Children <u>Anticipated Municipal Costs</u> Fire Police DPW Schools Net Existing Zoned Unit Cost Total Net Existing Built Unit Cost Total <u>Anticipated New Build Tax Revenue</u> Condos Rental Net Existing Zoned Unit Tax Revenue Total Net Existing Built Unit Tax Revenue Total Projected Costs - Revenue:	<u>MORE LIKELY BUILD-OUT SCENARIO</u>	<u>MORE LIKELY BUILD-OUT SCENARIO</u>	<u>MORE LIKELY BUILD-OUT SCENARIO</u>	<u>MORE LIKELY BUILD-OUT SCENARIO</u> Wastewater Treatment Plant All 95 units in one development, built at one time

**Notes**

- \*Assume as many units as possible have 2 bedrooms
- \*\*Assume every 2nd and 3rd bedroom has 2 kids ea
- \*\*\*Staff working to obtain local data from new developments of similar sizes in towns with good schools to inform a 'more likely build-out scenario' for each approach

**What does 100% Area Median Income mean in terms of incomes and monthly rents?**

2024 HUD Income & Rent Limits for Boston-Cambridge-Quincy, MA - NH HUD Metro Fair Market Rent Area

[BOSTON AREA 2024 WFH w-fy2024 FMRs.pdf](#)

4 person household, 100% AMI = \$148,900

30% of income for housing = \$44,670

Monthly rent = \$3,722.50

**THIS IS A DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY**

**THESE ARE APPROACHES, NOT SITE-SPECIFIC STRATEGIES**